Case 1:12-crADA-SKO Document 3	7 Filed 01/29/14 Page 1 of 31
	1
UNITED STATES D EASTERN DISTRICT HON. LAWRENCE	OF CALIFORNIA
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, vs. Defendant.	1:12-cr-LJO SENTENCE
Fresno, California	Monday, September 23, 2013
REPORTER'S TRANSCRI	PT OF PROCEEDINGS
REPORTED BY: PEGGY J. CRAWFORD	, RDR, CRR, Official Reporter

|--|

APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:

For the Government:

KIMBERLY SANCHEZ Assistant U.S. Attorney 2500 Tulare Street, Rm. 4401 Fresno, California 93721

For the Defendant:

FEDERAL DEFENDER'S OFFICE 2300 Tulare Street Suite 330 Fresno, CA 93721 BY: **MARC DAYS**, Assistant Federal Defender

-ADA-SKO Document 37 Filed 01/29/14 Page 3 of 31 Case 1:12-cr-3 1 Monday, September 23, 2013 Fresno, California 2 9:04 a.m. 3 THE COURT: Number 6 on calendar, 4 Your appearances on the matter? 5 MR. DAYS: Good morning, your Honor. Marc Days with 6 , who is present in court. He is in custody. 7 MS. SANCHEZ: Kim Sanchez representing the United 8 States. 9 THE COURT: Are we proceeding today with judgment and 10 sentencing? 11 MR. DAYS: We are prepared to proceed, your Honor. MS. SANCHEZ: Yes, your Honor. 12 13 THE COURT: Okay. What's your name? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Mr. Mr. have you had a chance to 15 THE COURT: 16 review the amended Presentence Report with your attorney? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 18 THE COURT: Do you have any questions that remain? 19 THE DEFENDANT: No. sir. 20 THE COURT: Okay. The Court has received and 21 reviewed the 28-page amended Presentence Report of 22 September 20; also the 11(c) agreement; the government's 23 sentencing memorandum; the amended memo and supplemental memo 24 of the government; the defendant's sentencing memorandum, and 25 numerous letters, including one from the defendant and seven

1 others. 2 The Court notes the offense level to be 17. History 3 Category is IV. Guideline range is 37 to 46, but Probation is 4 recommending an upward departure of 96. The Court notes on Count 2, the maximum is 120 and on 5 6 Count 3, it is 240, maximum amounts. 7 The Court has considered the numerous 3553(a) 8 factors. 9 Do you wish to be heard further, Mr. Days? 10 MR. DAYS: Yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: And I will just tell you, so you are not 12 guessing. I'm looking at page 5, at line 23 of the 13 government's amended sentencing memorandum, and I must tell 14 you that that's exactly how I'm viewing it. And I'm wondering 15 why I'm not increasing it, going to a statutory maximum in 16 this case. 17 We have a situation where we have a history of 18 violating probation. We have a prior gun offense, and he was 19 on probation at the time of this offense. 20 I'm just seeing somebody who doesn't -- who isn't 21 getting it, who doesn't want to follow laws and regulations 22 and rules. 23 And the ones that you are choosing not to follow are 24 dangerous. This isn't jay-walking here. 25 MR. DAYS: Your Honor, the Court's point is well

1 taken. I want to -- and I think the Court's focused exactly 2 on what, ultimately, I think the issue is in this case, which 3 is the type of person Mr. ______ is, in deciding what type 4 of sentence under 3553(a) is sufficient, but not greater than 5 necessary, to comply with the purposes of that statute.

6 THE COURT: And one other thing I didn't mention was 7 something that's even more frustrating, Mr. 8 have got potential. You are not stupid. I mean I am looking 9 and seeing what you are able to accomplish when you set your 10 mind to it. It is so discouraging to see somebody who has the 11 potential, who has the ability, and just simply throws it 12 away. This is not explainable to me. At least I can't 13 explain it from what I'm reading.

MR. DAYS: Your Honor, normally -- I have represented a number of defendants, and I have to say that is one of the most soft-spoken, easy-to-speak-with individuals that I have come across, really, in my time as a defense attorney.

I want to go right to the issue that the Court hit on
in starting this out on page 5, line 23, which is getting at
the type of person that he is.

If the Court looks at the criminal history portion of the probation report, in my view, it really gives us an idea of why we are here and also the type of person that Mr. When this happened, he did have two prior felony
 convictions. They are noted on lines 40 and lines 43. They
 happened in 2010, when he was 29 and 30 years old.

Prior to that, he had really no real criminal
history. And when I say no real criminal history, what I'm
referring to is prior to that, he had two driving on suspended
license convictions and a possession of marijuana, a
misdemeanor, it looks like a 11357(b), which is punishable by,
my understanding, a hundred dollar fine. That was the extent
of his criminal history up to age 29.

It is a criminal history. The first felony is
possession of a controlled substance and the second one is
possession of a firearm.

The reason I'm pointing this out is that up to the age of 29, **Example 1** really had no real criminal history. So the question becomes, well, how did he, going to the Court's initial point, how did he get here?

And the probation report tells us how he got here. He developed a drug habit, a serious drug habit, after having what I would describe as a productive first 25 years of life.

He came from a modest background. He was taken away from his parents for reasons that he is not even fully aware of at this point, and raised by his grandmother here in Fresno, from Mississippi.

He went on to Fresno City College. Went on to

6

25

1 develop into, as the letter that we have attached from the 2 head coach of the program, to develop into a good player. He describes Mr. **Management**, who he interacted with on a daily 3 4 basis, and I quote the description, "Hard working, very 5 He worked extremely hard to make himself into a dependable. 6 quality player. He is fun to be around. Positive 7 personality. Has a good heart and has a strong work ethic when motivated." 8

9 That's a description given by a person, a respected 10 person who dealt with him on a daily basis prior to him 11 succumbing to what can only be described as a demonic force of 12 drug addiction. That is what has brought us here today.

13 THE COURT: Except we have a lot of people who have 14 drug addictions, and we don't have, relatively speaking, that 15 many people who come here with a gun background, both in 16 history and in the present offense.

And we have a situation here where your attorney tells me how you got here, and my response to that is: You almost didn't get here. I mean if there were ever a case that shows the dangers of having a gun around, this is it. We have got one dead, two shot, and you were one of the ones that was shot. You are lucky you are here.

I mean you may be soft-spoken and productive when you are not on drugs, but you are simply compounding many times, not just once over, many times, when you take a drug addiction

7

and you inject guns into it. I mean truly, you are lucky that
you weren't charged with other things, but that seems like a
big deal, but not in this case. The big deal in this case is
that you are alive.

5 MR. DAYS: Your Honor, there was the 2010 firearm 6 case. It was -- the firearm was found, I believe, between a 7 seat in a vehicle.

8 And I don't think it is uncommon, and from my 9 viewpoint, this case ultimately is about the felon in 10 possession and the drugs.

I understand there is a lot more going on here. I
think the reason why -- well, he clearly wasn't charged in
state court. And I just want --

14 THE COURT: I'm not going down the road of thinking 15 that he needs to be somehow accountable for a person dead. 16 That's not my issue. My issue is talking about the danger of 17 having guns. And this isn't just a drug addiction issue, 18 because many, many people, it is not a normal, natural, next 19 step to having gunplay involved with drug addiction.

20 MR. DAYS: Well, from my viewpoint of what occurred, 21 I don't see -- I have seen a number of cases come through 22 where there are individuals that possess firearms and drugs. 23 I see them -- and fortunately, in those cases, those firearms 24 don't get used.

25

Defendants end up getting sentenced. In my

experience, they end up getting sentenced pretty much
 consistent with the guideline range. Sometimes even a gun may
 not even be accounted for.

When the Court describes it as gunplay, I viewed it a little bit differently. When Mr. Walker came into that house, he came in that house to kill. And he was an invited guest to that house, the residence. He had been there before on numerous prior occasions to hang out, to watch sporting events.

No one, no one thought Mr. Walker was a threat. And
that really is why I believe the interplay of the drug
addiction, the lack of judgment, really, is what we are
talking about here.

When you use drugs, you put yourself in associations that aren't healthy, that aren't good, that really is, as far as my viewpoint is concerned, can be even described as people that may engage in lost demonic behavior because their mind is gone.

19 THE COURT: But that argument would be stronger if 20 Mr. Walker walked in with the only gun that was around and 21 they had an argument and, somehow, Mr. **Constant** got the gun 22 and used it.

23 MR. DAYS: I think that the facts in the case are 24 even more favorable to Mr. **Example** than the scenario the 25 Court just described. Here, there was no argument. Here, what happened was -- and gunplay as the Court just described. Here what happened, was this man walked into the house. He shot before he walked into the house and killed Mr. **Second**'s guest and friend that was over celebrating his birthday at pointblank range, killed the man. Then shot Mr. **Second**'s girlfriend.

Case 1:12-cr-

25

7 Mr. Mr. He didn't come out come out. He didn't 8 come out with a gun. He didn't come out to play with guns. 9 He came out to see what happened. There was no gun with him 10 when he came into the living room, and then was shot twice in 11 the arm. According to witnesses, the blood was pouring out of 12 his arm like a hose with water.

No gun. He didn't have a gun then. What caused him
to go back and get the gun was what just happened, what I just
described, life and death.

I'm convinced, based on what I read, that Mr. Walker
would have walked out of that place and killed everybody there
and left no witnesses. He came into that house with the
intent to commit murder, cold blooded murder. That's what
caused the use of a gun.

So I think those facts actually are even more favorable towards Mr. **Example** than if there was an argument and then gunplay ensued. Because there was gunshots that rang out; he came out into the living room without a firearm.

So there is no intent on his part to use a firearm.

None, zero. Firearm is not even in his mindset when Walker
 comes over to the house.

THE COURT: Well, it must be somewhere in his mindset if he has a gun and he knows he is not supposed to have one.

5 MR. DAYS: Well, and that is the typical dilemma that 6 I think the Court faces when it sentences people to felon in 7 possession of a firearm. We have pled guilty to that offense. 8 They have dismissed the 924(c). We negotiated a resolution, 9 and the Court is aware of what it is.

But my point is simply that when the Court sees people come on a regular basis, having pled guilty to felon in possession of a firearm, those individuals oftentimes possess that firearm for various reasons, including self-defense. They have made a choice. Mr. **Mathematical made that choice**, just like all the other 922(g) defendants the Court sees.

He wasn't acting wild with this firearm. And I think the fact that he came out into the living room and suffered two shots after other people had been shot is solid, solid evidence that this man had no intent whatsoever to use that firearm. He is guilty of possessing it, I agree, just like every other 922(g) defendant.

I think at the end of the day, the recommendation of probation for 96 months, as I have looked through the probation report, has to deal with more of Mr. obstruction argument that they make in support of the
 deviation up to 96 months.

3 The Court, as I understand it, has determined the 4 quideline range to be 37 to 46 months. If, instead of the 5 5K2.21 [sic] departure that the Probation Office is 6 recommending up to 96 months, which is really based on 7 obstruction facts only, and I have to say that, as difficult 8 as this case is, I ultimately came to the conclusion this 9 weekend in preparing for this sentencing that Probation, for 10 the most part, has it on the money.

I don't agree with the -- with the movement up to 96 months, but Probation is not holding Mr. responsible for saving his life and the life of others that were there that day. They are not seeking additional time for him defending life and saving life.

They are asking for the additional time based on what
can only be, in my opinion, obstructive conduct. That, under
the guidelines, is a two-level increase. That would have
brought Mr.
to 46 months, 46 to 57 months, based on
the Court's guideline calculation.

Now, we are not here today in any way to shirk
responsibility. That's why we agreed to 80 months. The Court
read Mr. . Is letter. Not only was his good friend
dead, not only was his girlfriend shot and hurt and those kids
traumatized, but Mr. Walker, a person that Mr. .

befriended, is dead also, and we understand that there is
 accountability for that.

When the guideline range is at 37 months, and we ask for 80 --

5 (The Court spoke off the record to an unrelated 6 party.)

THE COURT: Go ahead.

7

8 MR. DAYS: The point I'm trying to get across, your 9 Honor, is when the guideline range, the low end is at 37 10 months, the conduct that Probation relies on is what can be 11 called "obstruction." That, to me, is what it is, which is a 12 two-level increase.

We are at 46 months then on the low end, and Mr. _____, we signed an agreement saying that we wouldn't ask for less than 80 months. Now, in exchange for that, the government agreed to knock out the 924(c).

17 Frankly, I think that's a just outcome in this case,18 given what happened, the way that the plea worked out.

19 The question is what is the appropriate increase.
20 Probation doesn't feel, and I agree with this 100 percent,
21 they don't agree with the government's argument that
22 Mr. ______, who was shot, a victim, shattered bone, metal
23 rod in his arm, should be made an example, should be punished,
24 the victim, a victim of a murderer, should be made the example
25 to all other defendants when there is no gunplay here.

1 He is not coming out with a gun into that living 2 room, even when there were shots fired. I don't believe he is 3 the right defendant to make that example with. 4 Probation is making its recommendation based on his conduct. I don't know if there is --5 6 THE COURT: Part of what Probation is saying is the 7 amount of drugs, too, isn't it? 8 MR. DAYS: In what respect, your Honor? 9 THE COURT: This was not an insignificant amount of 10 drugs that were involved. 11 MR. DAYS: Well, it definitely wasn't a usable 12 amount, and we pled guilty to the possession with intent to 13 distribute. We acknowledged that. We accepted responsibility 14 for that. 15 This isn't the amount of drugs that I have typically 16 seen or really, frankly, I can't recall ever seeing this 17 amount of drugs. And I'm not saying it to minimize it at all. 18 And given what's happened, it is a clear 19 demonstration to everybody in here that it doesn't take kilos 20 for things to go crazy. It doesn't require that. 21 I'm there. I'm not trying to minimize the amount of 22 But frankly, I can't remember in this Court ever drugs. 23 seeing such a small amount of drugs. I mean I think we are 24 here for the other things that went on. 25 The question is, what's a just sentence? What

14

sentence is sufficient, but not greater than necessary?
Mr. _____ has family here in the court that are
here to support him, that will be here to support him when he
gets out, while he is in custody. But I wanted to point out a
couple of people to the Court that I think could provide some
additional insight concerning Mr. ______.

7 One is Rhonda , who is the maternal grandmother 8 to Mr. ______'s children. I think that that's important 9 because she can attest to the type of person that Mr. ______ 10 is, that she has observed, and also type of father and how he 11 has treated her daughter as well. I think all those things 12 are important for the Court to get an idea of what is a 13 sufficient sentence here.

I believe this is a man that, when he gets past this drug issue, which clearly developed and caused him to lose judgment to the point where he had a murderer come into his house; that's a clear sign of lack of judgment right there, that you can't differentiate evil and you let it in your house. That's what happens when you use drugs and you abuse them on a daily basis like he was.

Also, Ayana , who was there that day, who was shot. I mean obviously, this is traumatic, this is emotional. She is here to support him. They are not dating right now, but she is supporting him.

25

It is my understanding she feels she is alive today

(Case 1:12-cr ADA-SKO Document 37 Filed 01/29/14 Page 16 of 31
	16
1	because of Mr. Hereins having the intestinal fortitude after
2	being shot, with a shattered arm, to prevent Mr. Walker from
3	killing everybody in that residence.
4	I don't know if there is anything else the Court
5	wants me to address. I believe Mr.
6	to address the Court.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	MR. DAYS: I'm hoping that Mr.
9	the Court after Ms. Here and Here and , if the Court wants to
10	listen from them.
11	THE COURT: We have limited time, but we will listen.
12	Let's do it now.
13	MR. DAYS: Thank you, your Honor. Ms.
14	MS. MARTIN: Good morning, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Who are you? Take a deep breath. Who
16	are you?
17	MS. MARTIN: Rhonda Here a , and I am the grandmother
18	of Mr. stwo sons.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	MS. MARTIN: I'm here for him, as well as my daughter
21	and my two grandsons, who are really having a tough time. I'm
22	going to try not to get emotional while I tell you this, but
23	me and my husband were taking care of the two boys, with my
24	daughter. They live with us now.
25	And my husband just passed. He was there for my two

grandsons and now he is not going to be there for them. And I
 feel they need a man in their life, and with their father
 gone, it is really difficult.

Mr. Mr. has been really respectful towards me. He has been a good father. He has been good to my daughter. They are not together, but he has still been there. She has been there for him.

8 And all this happened, I think, because of the drugs. 9 I know young people get caught up. He is a very talented and 10 smart person. With all the drugs, somehow, they just lose 11 their way.

I have been a correctional officer for 24 years, so I
can tell when someone is a good person. I think I'm a pretty
good judge of character. He is a good person. There is a
good person there.

I just want him to -- I think once he hit his rock
bottom, maybe he can understand now, maybe he knows what he
has lost. He has lost his family. He doesn't see his boys.
He is far from them now. Maybe this will wake him up.

20 21 THE COURT: Let me ask you a question.

MS. MARTIN: Yes.

THE COURT: I understand that you see him in a much different light from, for instance, the charges brought against him that he has pled guilty to. But one of my major focuses here is guns, and when guns are involved, everything 1 changes. Everything changes.

And you, with your background as a correctional
officer, you know that to be true.

And the nicest, calmest person with a gun becomes a very dangerous person. Why did he have a gun when he knew he shouldn't? He knew it was illegal. And in fact, not only did he know it was illegal, he had had it, the very same situation, three years before.

9 Most people who have drug addictions don't have guns. 10 Now, there are guns around drugs, we know that. But he had 11 it. It wasn't just that this other person brought in the gun 12 that was involved in the drug issues. He had his own. He 13 becomes a dangerous person.

In this particular case, I agree with Mr. Days, he
probably did save his own life, may have saved his then
girlfriend's life, but that doesn't discount the fact that he
had a gun and it was illegal to have, because the law says
what it says about felons with guns.

MS. Yes.

19

THE COURT: How is it that I'm supposed to view that? MS. MS. I think because of the lifestyle that the drugs is part of having the weapons. It is, we all know that. I mean when there is busts, there is guns involved. Their lack of judgment is part of it, it is -- we see it every day.

1 THE COURT: But that's exactly -- isn't this exactly 2 why the law comes down so heavily on people with guns, is 3 because there is such a lack of judgment when you couple the 4 guns and the drugs together? 5 You have got an even more dangerous person because of 6 the drugs, because of the lack of judgment. So now, instead 7 of just having a person who has a gun who shouldn't have it, 8 we have got a person who shouldn't have it who has no 9 judgment. Doesn't that make them more dangerous? 10 This is exactly what the law is looking at. This is 11 certainly what I'm looking at. 12 I think we also have to look at the MS. . 13 You know, what type of person is Mr. person. 14 THE COURT: He was almost a dead one. 15 MS. MARTIN: That's true. Through the grace of God, 16 he is here. 17 THE COURT: Exactly. 18 MS. MARTIN: And so there is a reason why he is here. 19 THE COURT: It is not that I'm not listening to you 20 on a personal level because I am, and I understand that there 21 are children involved. There are consequences that are just 22 catastrophic in a family. 23 Children don't understand. What they do understand 24 is that someone who is a -- an important person in their life, they are missing, they are missing in action. They understand 25

1 that part, but they don't understand the bigger picture. I2 got that part.

And I appreciate your coming to tell me about it in 4 your family. It counts.

MS. Thank you.

6 THE COURT: But the reason I'm chatting with you back
7 and forth is because I need you to understand that it is not
8 an easy decision.

MS. I understand.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. You are welcome.

MR. DAYS: Thank you, Judge. Your Honor, as I'm sure
the Court can imagine, this is very emotional. And
Ms. Interference is here, she is present, supporting Mr. Interference,

15 but it is just a little bit tough for her to speak.

THE COURT: That's fine. I understand.

I know I did some things, I made some mistakes that I shouldn't have made. I should have made better decisions in my life. I should have taken advantage of my education when I had a chance.

25

5

9

10

11

16

THE COURT: That's not over, you know. That

opportunity is not over. As long as you are alive and
 breathing, it is not over.

THE DEFENDANT: I will make better decisions once I am released and I'm going to be a better person and do better.

5 THE COURT: I want to hear about the gun. I want you 6 to tell me something that's going to be convincing that tells 7 me that this gun activity is done.

8 THE DEFENDANT: It is done. I'm changing my life. 9 I'm staying away from guns. I'm staying away from those 10 things I was involved in, drugs. I just not going to do it.

11 I have to for the sake of my kids. I want to be12 there for them. I apologize for everything I did.

13 THE COURT: Okay. Does Probation wish to be heard?14 Do you wish to address some of the comments?

15 PROBATION OFFICER: No, your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Okay.

25

MS. SANCHEZ: Your Honor, the culmination of
circumstances in this case was a disaster waiting to happen,
and it happened.

This case isn't about punishing the defendant because he protected his family or himself. This case is about a person who made the choice, who made multiple choices that started a whole ball rolling that ended up with two people dead and two people shot and two traumatized children.

This case is about the defendant, who was selling

cocaine from his apartment which was in a multi-unit apartment
 dwelling, where there were other people present on the day of
 this incident.

This case is about the defendant, who was on probation for a gun offense and a drug offense while he had two stolen firearms in his apartment.

7 This case is about the defendant inviting Donald 8 Walker to his apartment to sell him cocaine. This case is 9 about the defendant making the choice to have over eight 10 ounces of cocaine at his apartment that he could sell on this 11 day.

This case is about the defendant inviting danger into his apartment, where he had his girlfriend, her four-month-old baby, her four-year-old child, two of his friends, and all of the other people who were present in that multi-unit apartment dwelling on this day.

17 And after the gunshots rang off and there was blood 18 all over the place, the defendant made the choice to take his 19 two stolen guns and over eight ounces of cocaine to a 20 neighbor's apartment to get rid of those items before the 21 police came, wasting valuable time when he didn't know if the 22 four-month-old baby was shot. He could have been rendering 23 aid to his girlfriend, to his friend, who, he didn't know at 24 that time, I'm sure, whether he was dead or alive.

25

Finding the four-year-old, who the police found

hiding in the closet, completely traumatized, having no idea
 whether the four-year-old was shot or injured.

Case 1:12-cr-

25

Those were all choices this defendant made. So it is not about whether this defendant is a good person or bad person. He could be the best person in the world, but he made those choices.

He was undergoing drug treatment at the time and
still taking cocaine while he was undergoing drug treatment,
wasting valuable court resources, as this Court knows are
unavailable to many people who have similar drug problems. He
was a week away from graduating a drug rehab program.

12 This case is not at all about punishing someone 13 because they protected the lives of other people. This case 14 is precisely about what all of these laws are designed to 15 deter, to avoid.

This is a disaster. And it was the defendant's choices that allowed it to happen. That's why the government is making the recommendation it did in its sentencing memorandum, and we ask that the Court impose the sentence requested.

21THE COURT:Mr.

THE DEFENDANT: I can't say -- I can't see what she

1 told you that was wrong. I made poor decisions, but --2 MR. DAYS: Your Honor, she is not wrong. And 3 everything she said, she is absolutely correct. I mean we see 4 drug-dealing in apartments. We see defendants with firearms. 5 We see defendants selling drugs, delivering drugs with 6 children every day here. We see that. 7 But the requested sentence, there has to be a reason 8 that they are requesting the sentence they are requesting. 9 Well, how about the simple reason that we THE COURT: 10 are obligated in the system to take people out of play who are 11 either unwilling or unable to control their actions, whether 12 it be by addiction or by a decision? 13 MR. DAYS: Well --14 THE COURT: Don't we have some type of an obligation 15 to do that? 16 MR. DAYS: Absolutely, absolutely. Remember, your 17 Honor, the government dismissed the 924(c). 18 THE COURT: And maybe she did that because of the 19 reasons that you are arguing now. 20 MR. DAYS: Well, I'm sure that may have been a 21 reason. I mean I pointed out for a reason, and I want to keep 22 this focused on -- I mean this is all about Mr. and 23 what an appropriate sentence is. 24 THE COURT: I agree, but -- well, wait a minute. I'm 25 not sure I agree with that. It is not all about him. It is

also about the community, and it is about the law and the
desire of the law to have people follow it. So it is not just
about him.

MR. DAYS: But what I'm getting at, your Honor -- and that's why I distinguished Probation, the reason they are recommending their upward versus the reason the government is making its request.

8 Probation is recommending the upward because of the 9 conduct after the shooting. That's how I read the probation 10 report.

The government is recommending its upward because we
see drugs every day, we see drugs being transported with
children in baby seats regularly. We see guns when people get
arrested regularly with drugs.

The reason, and the difference between the two, is the government ultimately believes Mr. **Example** should be punished because of the conduct of Mr. Walker. It is the conduct of Mr. Walker that is their springboard.

19 So they want -- I mean I can't see it -- I don't see 20 it any other way. They want to hold the victim responsible, 21 and he is a defendant, but he is also a victim, they want to 22 hold him responsible for Walker's conduct.

THE COURT: No. What I'm hearing her say is that it was Mr. who started the process and continued the process to allow what ultimately happened to happen because he Case 1:12-cr

1 was the one who was maintaining a drug house. He was the one 2 who had the stolen guns. He was the one who put everyone, 3 including himself, into a position of danger because of the 4 decisions he made to continue with illegal activities. 5 MR. DAYS: Well, all my point is, is that every 6 statement the Court just made applies to every single 7 defendant that's charged with a drug offense in this court in 8 a firearm offense. 9 THE COURT: And I suppose what's happened here is 10 that we see the perfect storm hit and the consequences of it. 11 And I think that's the argument. 12 MR. DAYS: Well, the only problem from my viewpoint 13 with that is I mean I'm assuming it's deterrence, is what the 14 Court is referencing --THE COURT: Well, no, I'm not just referencing --15 16 MR. DAYS: -- as a 3553(a) factors. 17 THE COURT: I'm referencing deterrence, but I'm also 18 referencing protecting the public. 19 MR. DAYS: That's why I went into Mr. 's 20 criminal history. And we have somebody that clearly developed 21 a drug program. He went into DEJ as his first conviction. 22 The gun charge that occurred that he was convicted of before he actually even had that felony conviction for DEJ. 23 24 He hadn't been kicked out of the program yet according to the 25 report.

1 So that's -- I'm just getting towards the point, in 2 terms of protecting the public, we have a person that picked 3 up his first felony, controlled substance, DEJ, at 29 years 4 old.

5 THE COURT: And he started running at a pretty fast 6 pace.

7 MR. DAYS: He did, and now he is here. And 80
8 months, which is 43 months above the guideline, doubling the
9 guideline, is not a small sentence. That is a significant
10 amount of time.

11 He will also be on supervised release, being 12 monitored. He will get his drug treatment. And I think there is a lot of potential for Mr. **He has got two boys** 13 14 He has got all the incentive in the world, and we to raise. 15 are asking the Court not to give up on him and -- a sentence 16 consistent with what the government is recommending, which 17 was, at first, 30 and now it is 20 without any explanation for 18 what the rationale is, is the one sentence is essentially 19 giving up on this man, and there will be significant 20 collateral consequences, including his kids. 21 THE COURT: There already are. 22 MR. DAYS: I understand, and I think there is a way 23 to minimize that, but ultimately, I think 80 months is 24 sufficient and not greater than necessary, your Honor. Okay. Anything else? 25 THE COURT:

MS. SANCHEZ: Your Honor, a life sentence would be giving up on this defendant, and the government did not believe that was warranted.

4 A little background, since it was mentioned guite a 5 few times, as to the withdrawal of the 924(c) as part of the 6 plea agreement had to do with two factors: The defendant 7 wanting to avoid the risk of a life sentence, and the 8 government agreeing to that, and the uncertainty of case law 9 at the time under United States versus Alleyne, which was 10 decided after the plea agreement was reached in this case, as 11 to whether the government had to allege a discharge of the 12 firearm in order for a ten-year mandatory minimum to take 13 effect. And in giving consideration to the defendant on those 14 two points, the government agreed to withdraw the 924(c).

THE COURT: Okay. Anything from Probation? PROBATION OFFICER: No, your Honor.

15

16

17 THE COURT: All right. I certainly understand both
18 the sides and the arguments on both sides of this for lesser,
19 for a lot more. I believe that Probation has got it right.
20 It has got to be significant. It is going to be significant.

It could be more, and I'm not saying it shouldn't be more. Government may be right. But you are going to prove us either right or wrong. And I just hope it is not with -- I hope it is with good consequences, not with devastating consequences. Because, you know, we oftentimes, judges sit up here and we say, "Gee, horrible things could have happened." I don't need to say that in your case. Horrible things did happen, and they are going to have collateral consequences for the people who were there, including those children, forever. So this isn't a situation where I have to act dramatic and say, "Gee, these things really could happen."

8 They do happen. It did happen. So I don't need to 9 go there. You are already there, and so is your family, and 10 it is horrid.

Pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, it is the judgment of the Court that you are committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a term of 96 months on each of Counts 2 and 3, to be served concurrently for a total term of 96 months.

16 You shall pay a special assessment of \$200, payment 17 to begin immediately, and the Court does find you do not have 18 the ability to pay a fine and that's waived.

Was there a preliminary order of forfeiture? If
there was, I will make it and incorporate it into the
judgment.

MS. SANCHEZ: Thank you, your Honor.
THE COURT: Upon release from imprisonment, you shall
be placed on supervised release for a term of 36 months on
Count 2 and the same on Count 3, concurrently, for a total of

1 36.

Within 72 hours of release from the custody of the
Bureau of Prisons, you shall report in person to Probation in
the district where you are released.

5 While on release, you shall not commit another 6 federal, state, or local crime, not possess a firearm, 7 ammunition or a destructive device, as defined under 18 United 8 States Code 921, or any other dangerous weapon; shall not 9 illegally possess controlled substances; shall cooperate in 10 the collection of DNA, as directed by Probation; shall comply 11 with the standard conditions recommended by the Sentencing Commission and adopted by the Court; shall refrain from any 12 13 unlawful use of a controlled substance; shall submit to one 14 drug test within 15 days release from imprisonment and at 15 least two thereafter, not to exceed four per month. 16 The Court is going to order the nine special 17 conditions, which I can read or incorporate. 18 Incorporation is fine. MR. DAYS: 19 MS. SANCHEZ: Incorporation is fine. 20 THE COURT: Done and ordered. 21 Is there a request geographically? 22 MR. DAYS: Yes, your Honor, Terminal Island. 23 THE COURT: Court will make that recommendation;

24 however, that is a secondary recommendation. The first one is25 the 500-hour Bureau of Prisons substance abuse treatment

30

1 program. You desperately need that. You know it and I know 2 it. There is no debating it, and that's where my number one 3 priority is. So if that takes you to a different place 4 geographically, so be it. That's going to save you. The 5 location isn't going to save you, it is that program that's 6 going to save you, I hope. 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 8 THE COURT: We have Count 1 to deal with. 9 MS. SANCHEZ: Government moves to dismiss Count 1 of 10 the indictment. 11 THE COURT: Granted. Appellate rights have been 12 waived. 13 Anything else? 14 MR. DAYS: No, thank you, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: All right. It's up to you now. It is in 16 your court. You are the judge now. 17 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Let's do something. All right. 19 (The proceedings were concluded at 9:52 a.m.) I, PEGGY J. CRAWFORD, Official Reporter, do hereby 20 21 certify the foregoing transcript as true and correct. 22 23 Dated: 29th of January, 2014 /s/ Peggy J. Crawford PEGGY J. CRAWFORD, RDR-CRR 24 25